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Abstract—In this study, a bot is developed to compete in the first International RoShamBo Tournament test suite. The basic “Beat Frequent Pick (BFP)” algorithm is presented that is used in the First International RoShamBo Programming Competition. Simulation results demonstrate the significantly improved performance of the proposed variant over the original BFP. This indicates the potential of using the core technique (of the proposed variant) as an Artificial Intelligence bot to similarly applicable computer games.

Index Terms—Artificial intelligence, game theory, rock paper scissors, RoShamBo.

I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, a modified version of the “Beat Frequent Pick (BFP)” algorithm is presented that is used in the First International RoShamBo Programming Competition.

A. What Is Rock Paper Scissors?

Rock Paper Scissors is an intransitive two-player hand gesture game. The objective of the game is to defeat your opponent with a choice of hand gesture. The different hand gestures or options are “Rock, Paper, and Scissors”. Each of the players select an option in secret. After both players have selected an option, they play their choice as their move for that turn. Rock Paper Scissors is a double-blind game, so both players reveal their move at the same time. The winner of the turn is then determined with the matrix in Table I.

Rock Paper Scissors is known by different names such as RoShamBo, Bato Bato Pik, Jak-en-poy, and Quartz Parchment Shears. For this paper, the name RoShamBo is used as this is the name chosen for one of the Artificial Intelligence (AI) competition available on the Internet.

B. What Is the International RoShamBo Programming Competition?

Darse Billings announced the First International RoShamBo Programming Competition on September 1999 and the results were published in the International Computer Games Association (ICGA) Journal [2].

To participate in the programming competition, competitors are tasked in creating an AI bot using the C programming language and have it return 0, 1 or 2 (respectively representing rock, paper, scissors). Each bot will compete against all participating bots in a series of matches, each comprising 1000 turns. In addition, all bots have access to the history of moves played by both players during the current match-up.

So far, there are two International RoShamBo Programming Competitions: one on September 1999 and another on July 2000.

1) RoShamBo ranking system

The RoShamBo tournaments has two ranking system: The number of turns won (tournament results) and the number of matches won (match results).

Each match will play for 1000 turns.

In the tournament ranking system, the points that each AI has accumulated over the entire tournament is recorded. The more turns won, the higher the AI’s ranking is.

In the match ranking system, the match points are computed by subtracting the number of turns lost from the number of turns won in the match. For example, if the player has 437 wins and 261 loses, his final points for that match is 176. For this ranking, a break-even point is first established. For the first RoShamBo Tournament, the break-even point is set at 50. This means that receiving a score between -50 and +50 will result in a tie. Thus, the AI will only receive a match win if the bot has won at least 51 points in the match, a loss for games with less than -50 points, and a tie otherwise. Winning a match gives 2 ranking points, receiving a tie gives 1 ranking point and losing a match gives 0 ranking points. The more ranking points the AI has, the higher the AI’s ranking is.

2) The first RoShamBo tournament test suite

For the purpose of this paper, the first RoShamBo Tournament was chosen instead of the latest RoShamBo Tournament. The rationale in this is to avoid competition with AIs that are countering against the locaine Powder Meta [3].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P1 plays rock</th>
<th>P2 plays paper</th>
<th>P2 plays scissors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tie</td>
<td>P1 wins</td>
<td>P1 wins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1 wins</td>
<td>Tie</td>
<td>P2 wins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1 wins</td>
<td>P1 wins</td>
<td>Tie</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Due to the open source nature of the tournament, bots in later tournaments has a meta-strategy of exploiting patterns from the previous winners. To be fair, exploiting the meta is a valid strategy. But it is the objective of this research to create a generic AI bot.

Thus, to have a clearer view on the winning AI strategies, we choose to play with the first RoShamBo Tournament where a meta has not yet been priorly formed.

II. DEFINITIONS

Bots. Bot was originally an abbreviation for robot, but has changed to mean a computer software that uses Artificial Intelligence.

Option. An option refers to the set of valid choices that a player can chose from. In RoShamBo, the options for both players are Rock, Paper, and Scissors.

Move. A move is a play in one turn that has been selected by a player from amongst the possible options to select from.

Turn. A turn is a moment during a game when a player have to select a move that they believe will cause them to achieve a victory condition.

Match. An instance of a game between two or more players. A match is over when the winners and losers have been declared, as defined by the rules of the game.

Tournament. A tournament is an event where multiple players play against each other to determine their rank. A tournament rank can be determined through different tournament formats such as Single Elimination, Double Elimination, and Round Robin.

Meta-strategy. Meta means to “think beyond”. A meta-strategy (commonly shortened to meta) is a strategy which takes into account the current strategies that are either dominating the game or are very common.

III. RoShamBo AI

Two major approaches have been used to produce strong RoShamBo players: purely statistical techniques and the direct history method [3]. In purely statistical techniques, a strategy is chosen and statistical techniques are developed to model that strategy. In direct history, the move history from both players are analyzed for patterns.

The First International RoShamBo Programming Competition test suite contains multiple AIs that includes the high ranking AIs from the first tournament. The following AIs are relevant to this paper:

A. Beat Frequent Pick

The Beat Frequent Pick (BFP) is a “dummy bot” that was created to serve as a basic example of a RoShamBo AI. This AI operates on the idea that a player will favor a specific move (for example, rock). The AI then records a running tally of the number of times rocks, papers, and scissors are used in order to determine which move the opponent favors. When selecting a move, the BFP bot simply chooses the move that beats the opponent’s most used move.

B. Inocencio

In the RoShamBo tournament, the Inocencio bot is closest to the researcher’s reinterpretation of the BFP algorithm. However, while the developed AI calculates the probability over the entire match, the Inocencio bot calculates the probability over a sliding window of the previous \( n \) turns (where \( n \) is 20 in the test suite).

When the Inocencio bot detects that its opponent uses a move with a probability of > 0.45, it will immediately assume that the opponent will use that move otherwise, the bot will use a random move with a bias on the opponent's probable move. The researchers' AI do not have confidence checks.

Finally, the researchers’ AI tries to predict the future (1...1000 turns into the future) while the Inocencio bot does not.

C. Iocaine Powder

The Iocaine Powder bot is the AI that ranked highest in the first International RoShamBo Programming Competition.

The Iocaine Powder bot uses multiple strategies and predictive algorithm to select a move [3], [4]. When playing a match, it keeps score on which prediction wins and uses that algorithm. It has three prediction algorithms:

1) History Matching. The bot will study the last \( n \) moves (where \( n \) can be 1, 2, 5, 10, 100, or 1000) and try to look for move combinations that were played in the past.

2) Frequency Analysis. The algorithm is similar to the Beat Frequent Pick bot.

3) Random Guess. Once the bot detects that it is losing (determined by a threshold), the bot will start predicting randomly.

For strategies on how to play a turn, it has 6 strategies:

- p0. Naive application. Assume that the bot's prediction is correct and play the winning move (for example, rock was predicted so the bot uses paper).
- p1. Defeat second guessing. Assume that the opponent will counter p.0, so play the move that beats the winning move (continuing the above example, the opponent will second guess and choose paper, so the AI will choose scissor).
- p2. Defeat triple guessing. Assume that the opponent will counter p.1, so play the move that beats the winning move (continuing the above example, the opponent will triple guess and choose rock to beat p.1’s scissor, so the AI will choose paper).
- p'.0. Second guess the opponent's move. Assume that the opponent will choose p.0, and play against that move.
- p'.1. Second guess the opponent's move. Assume that the opponent will choose p.1, and play against that move.
- p'.2. Second guess the opponent's move. Assume that the opponent will choose p.2, and play against that move.

D. Meta-Strategy

Due to the nature of competition, players in competitive games will develop meta-strategies.

For example, in the first RoShamBo tournament, the Iocaine Powder was the winning bot. This had direct influence on new entries in the second RoShamBo. Many of the entries in the second contest were modeled from Iocaine Powder [3]. As such, the meta for the second tournament was to beat the Iocaine Powder AI.

When playing to win in a competition, it is necessary for a player to exploit the current meta. However, this can
introduce additional complexities to the starting development of a bot: should a researcher develop a bot that aims to win but requires studying the meta, or should a researcher develop the bot in isolation from the meta and then add improvements as dictated by the meta? We have chosen the latter strategy.

E. Research Questions
1) Can we develop an AI that can perform well in the first International RoShamBo Tournament test suite?
2) How do we inject new behavior into the AI?
3) Amongst the different AI variants, what is the best performing variant of the bot?

IV. RELATED LITERATURE

A. AI in General

Artificial Intelligence is a branch of computer science where machines and software are developed with human-like intelligence. The field of AI was founded at the Dartmouth College conference and was first coined by John McCarthy [5].

Artificial Intelligence has been used in numerous fields and commercial products such as speech recognition [6], natural language processing [7], and computer games [8], [9].

An AI can follow a predefined set of rules. However, this will make the bot deterministic and predictable [10]. A human player is unpredictable and an AI should also be as well. To make AI unpredictable, random numbers are used. For example, Go AI uses Monte Carlo to influence its problem analysis [11].

When encountering a problem, a human will first analyze the problem. These analysis are taken into consideration when making a decision [12]. An AI can mimic this model by implementing a problem analysis component and a decision-making component.

B. AI in Games

Emergent Behavior can occur from simple rules. The AI in the video game, The Sims, follows simple rules. From these rules, the Sims behaved in a way that was not pre-programmed by the AI developer [13].

AI was also developed for the video game, Cut the Rope. Here, AI can create a level using a simulation based approach [14]. An AI was created that can learn to play Atari Games [15]. A group of bots that exhibit human behavior has been programmed into the Quake 3 video game [10].

Traditional games such as Chess and Poker also have AIs developed by numerous researchers. In Chess, IBM's Deep Blue defeated then World Chess Champion Garry Kasparov [16]. In Poker, a testbed was created to aid machine intelligence research [17].

C. Publications in RoShamBo

Research has found that humans imitate opponent's gestures as a strategy [18]. Mathematics has developed a model that learns the game [19]. Robots are created that plays RoShamBo [20].

Zhijian Wang observed that winning players stick to their one winning strategy while losing players changes strategies [21]. Psychology has conceptualize the “conditional response” [22] and game theory has the "Pavlov strategy" [23].

V. METHODOLOGY

A. Research Methodology

1) Create an Artificial Intelligence RoShamBo bot based on a strategy.
2) Create a training program for the AI bot. This training program should modify the behavior of the AI bot.
3) Each modified variant will play in the first International RoShamBo Programming test suite1. The rankings are recorded and the process is repeated. After a predetermined limit is reached, the best performing version of the bot is determined.

B. Modified Beat Frequent Pick AI (MBFP)

We based our AI on the idea that the best strategy for winning RoShamBo is to keep a uniform spread between rock, paper, and scissors [19]. Meaning, in a 9-turn game, there will be 3 rocks, 3 papers, and 3 scissors. The AI on this paper will model our opponent's moves as if they are using this strategy and in addition, will try to predict the future by computing the probability every n turns.

During the match, the AI will keep track of the number of rocks, papers, and scissors the opponent has played. We will call these stats0, statsn, and stats3, respectively. We also keep track of the current turn with the variable currentTurn.

For the initial turn, the probability for all moves are set to 1/3.

When selecting a move, the AI will predict what the opponent will use. It will randomly choose between rock, paper, and scissors, with a bias on moves the opponent favors. For example, if the opponent uses rock all the time, prob3 will have a value of 1.0 while the other variables will have a value of 0.0.

To predict future plays from the opponent, the AI will compute the probability every n turns. In effect, there is a sliding window on when the probability is recomputed. We will call these n values, the targetPredictionSize. This would allow the AI to look ahead into the future based on its current model of the opponent's probability options. We have identified that targetPredictionSize determines the behavior of the AI and as such, can be trained with an external training program.

Two prediction variables are used to give the AI a future prediction on the probability for rocks, papers, and scissors. These variables will be called predictionr and predictions. Because RoShamBo only have 3 different moves, predictions can be inferred.

The prediction variables are recomputed if any of the following conditions are satisfied:
1) Every n turn (where n is the AI's targetPredictionSize);

---

1One AI in the test suite was changed for the purpose of this research: Shofar. Shofar has a one-off bug which causes it to throw an exception (line 3021 in the unmodified source code). The researchers sidestep the problem by modifying the assert, but we note that the random numbers that causes the exception can sometimes return an invalid move. The test suite, however, will modulo any invalid move as to not interfere with the tournament.
This condition will change the AI's timing on when to re-evaluate its predictions or
2) If the prediction variables this turn are outside the [0..1] range; This can happen if one of the target probability is below the actual stat (for example, statR has a value of 151 but predictionR has a value of 150.19). This indicates that the current prediction model is complete and new prediction variables needs to be calculated.

Because of the nature of the algorithm, if targetPredictionSize = 1 (MBFP1), the AI will not look into the future and will instead predict the opponent based on their current and previous plays.

Finally, the AI will play the move that will beat the prediction (e.g. the AI predicts the opponent will play rock so it plays paper).

The program's flowchart and code listing can be found at Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, respectively.

C. The Training Program

We exposed the targetPredictionSize variable to external programs by adding and modifying the code in the original test suite. This enables the test suite to check the program's arguments and pass the values to the bot.

Because the set of possible targetPredictionSize is limited (from 1 to maxturns), we used a linear value function to decide on the values instead of more sophisticated approach such as genetic algorithms or reinforcement learning. Our training program was developed in Python and when executed, it replays the tournament using different targetPredictionSize. The tournament result data for each AI variant is sent to a database.

Another Python script will parse the database and extract the ranking of each AI variant which is then rendered into a chart.

As shown in Fig. 3, all of the above scripts are what the training program is made of.

D. Findings

Against the 41 AIs from the First International RoShamBo Test Suite, the best performing MBFP variants has a
respective tournament ranking and match ranking of 23 (variant MBFP1) and 21 (variant MBFP2).

For variants with a predictionSizes of 15 to maxturns, we see a decline in ranking.

This indicates that the MBFP strategy is more effective if it immediately assessed the current situation instead of assessing future situations.

This shows the position of each MBFP variants in the tournament ranking system and the match ranking system, respectively.

E. Insights and Observations

During the course of the research, we have gained insights on our AIs behavior against other AIs. We have written up these observations on these match-ups. Table II contains the win, lose, and tie records against these opponents. Table III and Table IV contains the best and worst MBFP variant amongst the specific opponents, respectively.

1) One-vs-one against other AIs

When fighting the Good Ole Rock (a dummy bot included in the test suite that only plays Rock), the BFP bot has a high rate of winning, even with different targetPredictionSize. Because of the simplicity of Good Ole Rock, the BFP bot was able to quickly model the opposing AI’s strategy. Against this bot, our AI has won with all of its 1000 variants.

When fighting bots that play using probability, our AI has a good chance of modeling and defeating these bots. This can be checked by looking at the score against an AI that uses this strategy such as the R-P-S 20-20-60 bot, where our AI has won 950 matches, no losts, and 50 ties.

The test suite also contains bots that play using patterns. One example is the Rotate R-P-S which cycles between rock, paper, and scissors. Against this opponent, our MBFP bot tied in the majority of the turns. Our AI has 13 winning variants, no losts, and 987 tied variants. Our bot is poor at detecting patterns. If the researchers want to expand their bot to predict patterns, they would need to explore the direct history method that has become common in the second International RoShamBo Tournament [3].

Also included in the test suite are bots that uses statistical techniques. Our MBFP AI uses a statistical techniques as well. When fighting against our inspiration, the Beat Frequent Pick, our AI has 4 variants that won, 884 variants that lost, and 112 variants that tied. This indicates that our bot is predictable as it was successfully modeled by the basic BFP implementation.

Against the highest ranking bot, the Iocaine Powder AI, our AI has receive many losts. The best score against the Iocaine Powder is 84. The worst score against the Iocaine Powder is -250. This means that while more work is needed to defeat the leading AI, it is achievable.

Against our bot’s closest implementation, Inocencio, our AI has 0 wins, 965 variants that lost, and 35 variants that tied. This adds credence to the idea that our bot is susceptible to prediction. An alternative explanation for the losts can be that Inocencio’s strategy of modeling the past using a sliding...
window is superior to our strategy of predicting the future by modeling probability. A future study can be done on increasing the Inocencio sliding window similar to how our bot’s targetPredictionSize is modified by the training program. Interestingly, Inocencio loses to R-P-S 20-20-60 with a score of -322, while our bot did not receive a lost. We theorized that perhaps adding a sliding window that checks the past, similar to Inocencio, will improve our AI’s score.

2) BFP tournament performance insights

An AI consists of a problem analysis system and a decision making system. MBFP is a problem analysis system. Our AI uses a simple decision making system: take the prediction from the MBFP and return the move that beats it. But even with a basic decision making algorithm, the MBFP still has a decent win rate.

The champion bot, Iocaine Powder has a more sophisticated decision making component. This causes it to beat our AI, with the best variant using MBFP,575. This shows that developing a more robust decision making system will be a good focus for improving the AI.

In terms of ranking, MBFP, and MBFP, are the best performing bot variant when ranking for tournament and match results, respectively.

We have noticed that in the first International RoShamBo tournament test suite, there are no bots that attempts to trick, bait, and trap their opponent. Meaning, our AI has not encountered bots that intentionally play moves to skew the probability model. The researchers believe that if such an AI is encountered, the above variants may not perform as well as other values. The researchers limited themselves to the first test suite to reduce complexity but this train of thought can be explored in future papers.

A complete list of the best and worst MBFP variants and how they performed can be found at Table V.

3) Other observations

The researchers have found it interesting that just predicting the opponent’s move history is enough for the AI to perform well. The other AIs, in addition to modeling their opponent’s history, also model their opponent’s possible winning strategies. We suspect that the MBFP’s effectiveness is due to the symmetric gameplay of RoShamBo, meaning that both players have access to the same moves. As such, one strategy can work with either players. We theorized that in asymmetrical games, modeling the opponent’s possible winning strategies will have a more pronounced effect on an AI’s performance.

The International RoShamBo tournament is not meant for research purposes. Its marketed more as a programming competition. As such, most of the AIs in the test suite are not fully explained, and uses code optimization techniques (which makes it hard to read code in some cases). This makes it difficult to dive deep into the code of some AIs. As such, our understanding of how some of the opposing AI work is incomplete. However, this does not invalidate the result of this paper as the researchers are using the ranking system as the basis of the AI strength. If the researchers were to create a bot that aims to beat the tournament meta, more time will be spent dissecting and de-obfuscating the opposing bots.

F. Source Code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AI Opponent</th>
<th>Best target Prediction</th>
<th>Best Score</th>
<th>Worst target Prediction</th>
<th>Worst Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good Ole Rock</td>
<td>MBFP,1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>MBFP,999</td>
<td>596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-P-S 20-20-60</td>
<td>MBFP,66</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>MBFP,572</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotate R-P-S</td>
<td>MBFP,3</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>MBFP,516</td>
<td>-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beat The Last Move</td>
<td>MBFP,58</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>MBFP,217</td>
<td>-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always Switchin</td>
<td>MBFP,3</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>MBFP,125</td>
<td>-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beat Frequent Pick</td>
<td>MBFP,2</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>MBFP,328</td>
<td>-286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pi</td>
<td>MBFP,12</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>MBFP,96</td>
<td>-41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switch A Lot</td>
<td>MBFP,3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>MBFP,472</td>
<td>-51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Flat</td>
<td>MBFP,3</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>MBFP,599</td>
<td>-31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foxtrot</td>
<td>MBFP,5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>MBFP,85</td>
<td>-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Brujin</td>
<td>MBFP,9</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>MBFP,82</td>
<td>-52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>MBFP,12</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>MBFP,205</td>
<td>-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rotn</td>
<td>MBFP,26</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>MBFP,15</td>
<td>-98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copy-drift</td>
<td>MBFP,19</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>MBFP,203</td>
<td>-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add-react</td>
<td>MBFP,10</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>MBFP,88</td>
<td>-85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add-drift</td>
<td>MBFP,10</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>MBFP,63</td>
<td>-63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iocaine Powder</td>
<td>MBFP,575</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>MBFP,189</td>
<td>-250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phasenbott</td>
<td>MBFP,284</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>MBFP,163</td>
<td>-141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MegaHAL</td>
<td>MBFP,17</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>MBFP,160</td>
<td>-409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RussRocker4</td>
<td>MBFP,88</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>MBFP,99</td>
<td>-178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biopic</td>
<td>MBFP,98</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>MBFP,256</td>
<td>-375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple Modeller</td>
<td>MBFP,7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>MBFP,645</td>
<td>-491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple Predictor</td>
<td>MBFP,1</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>MBFP,566</td>
<td>-427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robertot</td>
<td>MBFP,2</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>MBFP,520</td>
<td>-422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boom</td>
<td>MBFP,42</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>MBFP,540</td>
<td>-307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shofar</td>
<td>MBFP,11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>MBFP,509</td>
<td>-303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT-R Lag2</td>
<td>MBFP,62</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>MBFP,446</td>
<td>-199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majikthise</td>
<td>MBFP,63</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>MBFP,98</td>
<td>-79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vroomfondel</td>
<td>MBFP,61</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>MBFP,274</td>
<td>-97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granite</td>
<td>MBFP,2</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>MBFP,37</td>
<td>-450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marble</td>
<td>MBFP,2</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>MBFP,324</td>
<td>-416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZQ Bot</td>
<td>MBFP,42</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>MBFP,370</td>
<td>-318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweet Rocky</td>
<td>MBFP,62</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>MBFP,314</td>
<td>-357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piedra</td>
<td>MBFP,60</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>MBFP,500</td>
<td>-349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed Strategy</td>
<td>MBFP,51</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>MBFP,500</td>
<td>-349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-strategy</td>
<td>MBFP,1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>MBFP,385</td>
<td>-450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inocencio</td>
<td>MBFP,2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>MBFP,573</td>
<td>-433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pterbot</td>
<td>MBFP,1</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>MBFP,128</td>
<td>-253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bugbrain</td>
<td>MBFP,60</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>MBFP,162</td>
<td>-127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knucklehead</td>
<td>MBFP,59</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>MBFP,27</td>
<td>-148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The researchers have uploaded the results from our tests online, as well as tools to parse the results [24].

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the researchers have developed an Artificial Intelligence to play in a RoShamBo tournament. They identified the variables that control the behavior of the bot and they created a training program that finds the highest ranking AI variant when matched against the participants of the first International RoShamBo Programming Competition test suite.

A. Future Directions

Training program. In this paper, the researchers have demonstrated how changing one variable has an effect on its overall effectiveness. Future bots can be developed with a more sophisticated training program that feeds an AI different values that can effect the behavior and its ranking.

Building a new RoShamBo AI. If future researchers are to build AIs that can take part in the RoShamBo tournament, our MBFP variant should serve as the ranking to beat.

Applying the MBFP to other games. At its core, the MBFP is a bot that tries to predict the next move an opponent will play. This can be applied to other competitive games where player has to select a move that can score a point against opposing players. Examples of competitive games are Chess, Poker, Pokémon, and Street Fighter. In these games, a player can win by out-predicting and out-strategizing their opponent.

Decision making component. At its core, the MBFP is a problem analysis algorithm. It takes the database of moves used by both players and returns a prediction. The decision making algorithm is very simple: take the result from the MBFP and select a move that beats the prediction.
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