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ABSTRACT

The treatment of allylic alcohols as synthons of carbanions for carbonyl allylation reactions in the presence of a Pd-SnCl₂ system has been one of the most interesting and most useful developments demonstrated by Yoshiro Masuyama and co-workers in the field of organic synthesis. The reaction makes use of palladium as an effective catalyst and tin (II) chloride as a low-valent reducing agent which also effectively transforms the allylic group to a nucleophilic group. The organic, as well as organometallic, chemistry of how the transformations occur and how the metals take part in the reaction is of great interest. These could help allow for better optimization of reagents and solvents, for better control of the extent of reaction or yield of desired product, and for possible applications in other reaction systems. This review will focus primarily on the work of Yoshiro Masuyama and various co-workers on carbonyl allylation reactions making use of a Pd-SnCl₂ system or substitutes thereof.
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INTRODUCTION

π-Allylpalladium complexes have been generally applied as allylic cations in organic syntheses, using a variety of allylic derivatives such as allylic esters and halides. In this review, however, the focus will be on the use of an umpolung of metal-allyl complexes, i.e. treating the allylic species as the nucleophilic species instead of electrophile (Masuyama, 1994). As early as 1987, Yoshiro Masuyama and co-workers have begun investigating chemical couplings between allylic compounds and carbonyls. They explored the use of allylic acetates, a zinc-based reductant and a palladium catalyst yielding, however, low diastereoselectivity and long reaction times, extending up to 6 days (Masuyama, Kinugawa, & Kurusu, 1987). Furthermore, the yield of allylic alcohol product was said to be quite low, especially in using only two equivalents of acetate, due to the competing homocoupling reaction between the allylic acetates (Sasaoka et al., 1985). These concerns were, however, circumvented upon change of solvent, from dioxane to 1,3-dimethylimidazolidin-2-one (DMI), and of the metal reductant, from zinc to tin (as SnCl₂). Notably, these changes prevented homocoupling of the acetates and reduced the reaction times from 4-6 days to only around 20-60 hours (Masuyama, Otake, & Kurusu, 1988).

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; email: aguidote@ateneo.edu
they have proposed the use of allylic alcohols instead of allylic acetates as synthons of allylic carbanions. Notable characteristics of the reaction were that (1) the molar amount of \( \text{SnCl}_2 \) must be twice that of the allyl alcohol, (2) reactions with ketones were more favorable compared to the use of \( \text{Zn} \) and allylic acetates, and (3) better regioselectivity was also observed, as will be discussed in this paper. This reaction system (Scheme I) has been utilized by Masuyama and co-workers (1988) for quite a number of studies and will be the focus of this review.

**Scheme I.** Carbonyl allylation using either allyl alcohol or allyl acetate in the presence of \( \text{Pd-SnCl}_2 \). [Reprinted with permission from Y. Masuyama et al. (1988). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110, 4473-4474. Copyright © 1988, The Royal Society of Chemistry]

**ORGANOMETALLICS: PALLADIUM CATALYSIS**

Prior to discussing the reaction of interest, some background must be given on the reagents used. For this section, we will focus on the primary metal-based catalyst used for this system, namely palladium complexes. Matthew Hartings in his review (2012) has stated that one “would be forgiven if [one] thought the most important element in an organic transformation was carbon. [He] argues that, for just over half a century in many of chemistry’s most renowned organic reactions, it has actually been palladium.” As bold as the claim may seem, it does have its merit in how a number of organic processes known today, especially that of carbon-carbon couplings, make use of palladium catalysts. A few reactions to name would be the Heck reaction, the Suzuki coupling reaction, and the Tsuji-Trost allylation (Casi, 2008).

In the reaction of interest, palladium is involved in the formation of a \( \pi \)-allylpalladium complex that gears the substrate for addition of the tin chloride reagent. Several other reactions use a similar function for the metal. To get a better understanding of how this occurs, a review of some typical catalytic cycle reactions will first be discussed, especially considering palladium catalysis. These key reactions are 1) transmetallation, 2) reductive elimination, and 3) oxidative addition, wherein the last reaction appears to be intimately involved in the transformation of interest, as will be discussed under oxidative addition.

**Transmetallation.** By definition, transmetallation (alternative spelling: transmetalation) involves the transfer of an alkyl group or other organic ligand from one metal, e.g. \( \text{Zn, Mg or Li} \), to another (Crabtree, 2005). In a paper discussing the mechanism of the Stille reaction (Espinet & Echavarren, 2004), the authors described the transmetallation process with palladium as occurring in the +2 oxidation state of the metal, and starts from a 16-electron tetracoordinated square planar species. Though the steps of transmetallation are not very well understood, they proposed that it could either occur by a dissociative or associative pathway (Scheme II). As can be seen, the dissociative pathway involves a 14-electron T-shaped intermediate, while the associative pathway goes through an 18-electron bipyramidal intermediate complex. The authors also note that solvent molecules may play a role in


**Scheme III.** Simplified generic reaction scheme for Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of boronic acid (RBB(OH)₂) with organo-bialide (RX); \( L \) = ligand or solvent; \( X \) = halide; only trans isomers illustrated. [Reprinted with permission from A. Lennox & G. Lloyd-Jones (2013). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 52, 7362-7370. Copyright © 2013, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.]
directing substitution, such as by initial coordination of solvent to the metal, and thus affect the reaction kinetics. Transmetallation processes are also typically found midway in the catalytic cycle, such as in the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction (Scheme III; Carrow & Hartwig, 2011; Lennox & Lloyd-Jones, 2013). Transmetallation between palladium and other metal complexes has found numerous applications for synthetic routes, especially for industrial applications (Albéniz, Espinet & Pèrez-Mateo, 2010; Lei, Srivastava & Zhang, 2002; Miyaura, 2002).

**Reducive Elimination.** Reductive elimination, on the other hand, involves, as the name implies, reduction of the metal of interest’s oxidation state by two units and the formation of a sigma (σ) bond between two ligands. Typically, in catalytic reactions, it is the last step in the catalytic cycle, regenerating the initial form of the metal catalyst and yielding the desired product. The reduced form of the metal must then be stable enough to re-enter the catalytic cycle. In light of the principle of microscopic reversibility, it can be assumed that the mechanisms by which reductive elimination occurs must be similar to that of oxidative addition (only in reverse), some of whose proposed reaction schemes will be discussed under oxidative addition (Crabtree, 2005).

Many factors can affect the favorability and rate of elimination, such as the nature of the ligand (e.g., stability of R-R’ vs. R-M-R’), the metal used and what other reactions the elimination can be coupled to. It is also quite common for the thermodynamic and kinetic characteristics of an organometallic reaction to differ significantly. In a study of aryl halides, for example, using palladium catalyst (as (t-Bu)₂P-PdArX₂), equilibrium studies showed that reductive elimination of aryl chlorides are thermodynamically more favorable than aryl bromides and iodides, yet the aryl chloride formed more slowly compared to the bromide and iodide. The thermodynamic data revealed the dominant effects of aryl-halide bond strengths, while for the kinetic case, transition state energies are more contributing (Roy & Hartwig, 2003). Interestingly, acceleration of reductive elimination was also observed when coupled to the oxidative addition of allyl chloride to the palladium metal (Kurosawa, Emoto & Kawasaki, 1988).

**Oxidative Addition.** In oxidative addition, a molecule, R-R’, is split and coordinates to the metal as M-R and M-R’. Since R and R’ are X-type ligands, they each reduce electron density on the metal and increase its oxidation state by a total of two units, along with electron count and coordination number. The latter two mentioned changes imply the need for a vacant 2-electron site on the metal. This thus means that the metal complex must be a 16-electron complex or, if starting as an 18-electron complex, must supply a vacant site by ligand dissociation (Crabtree, 2005). As with many other transition metals, palladium is often invoked, usually as Pd(0), to initiate the catalytic cycle via oxidative addition. Numerous coupling reactions make use of palladium for this (Caspi, 2008), e.g. Suzuki-Miyaura coupling (Scheme III).

Oxidative additions are said to be very mechanistically diverse. For example, the reaction is said to possibly occur by a three-center concerted mechanism, wherein the incoming ligand (R-R’) first associates with the metal through its σ bond, forming a σ complex, then undergoes R-R’ bond breaking due to back donation of the metal to its antibonding orbital (Scheme IV).

A non-concerted Sₐ₂-like mechanism has also been proposed. This is said to be more common for polarized substrates such as alkyl halides, wherein the metal electrons (from LnM) directly attack the R-R’ σ* orbital (Scheme V). This reaction is highly reminiscent of organic Sₐ₂ reactions, with stereochemical inversions at the carbon atoms of substituted halides being commonly observed (Crabtree, 2005).

Other possible routes include radical and ionic mechanisms. The former typically involves single electron transfer (SET) mechanisms, as in the Grignard or Barbier reactions, while the latter involves initially ionized ligands (e.g., L₃M + H⁺ + Cl⁻ → L₃HMCl). Such reaction schemes were deduced by spectroscopic studies (e.g. NMR kinetic studies, electron spin resonance) or by isotopic labeling (Crabtree, 2005).
As previously stated, oxidative additions are typically involved in the beginning of catalytic cycles, adding the reacting substrate to the metal to mediate reaction with another reagent. For the case of the carbonyl allylation in this review, oxidative addition of the allyl to the palladium (0) catalyst involves dissociation of a leaving group (e.g. OH− or acetate) and coordination of the allyl to the metal via a 3-carbon coordination, effectively also oxidizing the metal to its +2 oxidation state (Scheme I). Palladium is said to form allylic complexes easily in the +2 oxidation state as it is easy to prepare and handle and typically acts as an intermediate for various palladium-catalyzed coupling reactions. The oxidative addition of palladium to allylic chlorides and alcohols have been described as early as the 1960s with the latter being promoted in the presence of acid, due to protonation of the −OH group (Maitlis, 1971). The aforementioned leaving group (e.g. OH− or acetate), on the other hand, is typically described to act as the counter ion for the Pd-allyl complex, generated by what is described as reversible oxidative addition (Agenet et al., 2002; Amatore et al., 2000; Jutand, 2004). Interestingly, a study using iridium as transition metal has regarded the S_N2-like mechanism as the most probable route for π-allylmetal complex formation. In this model, the metal is described to attack the leaving group (e.g. halide)-bonded carbon atom, as the leaving group departs, allowing the formation of the complex (Pearson & Poulos, 1979). The characteristics of this organometallic reaction will be discussed later.

**TIN (II) CHLORIDE CHEMISTRY**

Aside from its +4 oxidation state, tin has shown increasing importance and applicability in its +2 oxidation state (Miessler, Fischer & Tarr, 2014). In this form, tin (II) chloride, known as stannous chloride, is regarded as a highly versatile reagent for organic syntheses. For this type of dihalide, tin serves as a low valent metal which enables the activation of substrates rich in electrons. As such, it can serve as Lewis acid, being able to accept electrons in its d orbitals.

In many circumstances, SnCl₂ serves as a mediator in many metal-catalyzed reactions. This holds true in the subject of interest as SnCl₂ can impart distortions on the electrons of the π-allylpalladium complex. Upon exchange with low valent metals, the so-formed allyl metal species can now function as a nucleophile, instead of the usual electrophile, hence an umpolung. This ability of the low valent metal permits transmetallation with another metal, consequently allowing the allylation of carbonyl compounds (Frost, Howarth & Williams, 1992).

**Pd-SnCl₂ MEDIATED CARBONYL ALLOYLATION REACTION**

Yoshiro Masuyama and various co-workers have published numerous papers with regards to the application of the Pd-SnCl₂ system in carbonyl allylation reactions/syntheses. In this section, some of these papers will be discussed with an emphasis on the mechanistic insights gained for the reaction of interest. Work by other authors relevant to the subject at hand will also be included in subsequent sections.

In developing the allylation reaction system, many factors were considered. The choice of metal reagent to use was by far the most important consideration. In light of this, Masuyama and co-workers had many questions and concerns in mind. For example, was charge reversal of the π-allylpalladium complex with the chosen metal reagent possible? Single-electron transfer reactions should also be discouraged by the system, else homocoupling reactions would be rampant. It was also highly preferable for the metal reagent to regioselectively attack the π-allylpalladium complex for practical synthetic applications. And finally, the nucleophilic addition of the allylmethyl to the carbonyl compound preferably must occur in the same pot (Masuyama, 1994).

![Scheme VI. Reaction system for the synthesis of α-methylene-γ-butyrolactone from ethyl 2-(hydroxymethyl) acrylicate with aldehyde in the presence of Pd-SnCl₂.](image)


![Scheme VII. Proposed carbonyl allylation pathway towards syn selection.](image)

Lactone Formation from Acrylic Ester with an Allylic Alcohol Group. In 1991, the preparation of α-methylene-γ-butyrolactones from an ethyl 2-(hydroxymethyl)acrylate has been reported. This was a development from 2-(bromomethyl)acrylates which were said to be difficult to prepare and to store due to instability. The reaction system was described to involve a palladium catalyst in the form of PdCl$_2$(PhCN)$_2$, a tin (II) chloride reductant and the primary reacting species, the aldehyde and 2-(hydroxymethyl)acrylate, in 1,3-dimethylimidazolidinone (DMI)-H$_2$O as solvent to give the butyrolactone product (Scheme VI). For most carbonyl compounds utilized, however, the yield played around values of 23-47%. Investigation of diastereoselectivity, nevertheless, showed almost 100% syn selection (Masuyama, Nimura, & Kurusu, 1991). Of greater interest in these reactions was the intermediates believed to have formed to yield the syn-selected product. As in Scheme I, the authors have shown the initial formation of a π-allylpalladium complex intermediate and subsequent attack of the tin species. Syn addition then proceeded through what is described as an acyclic antiperiplanar intermediate, suggesting the chelation of the ester oxygen group to the Sn(IV), effectively preventing the formation of a cyclic intermediate (Scheme VII).

Characteristics of Palladium-Catalyzed Carbonyl Allylation with SnCl$_2$. In the succeeding year, Masuyama and co-workers submitted an investigative paper, trying to optimize the reagents, catalyst, solvent used and other parameters used for the allylation reaction. They also looked more closely into the selectivity and actual mechanism of the allylation reaction (Takahara, Masuyama & Kurusu, 1992). They claimed that the use of tin (II) chloride as a reducing low-valent metal is more effective compared to using other such metals or salts. They have also regarded the use of allylic alcohol as highly preferable than allylic esters and halides since derivatization to the latter entails use of more resources. But aside from that, in their preliminary study, allylic alcohol reactivity towards palladium-catalyzed carbonyl allylation was found to be greater than allylic esters, and so usage of allylic alcohol in the investigation was deemed more useful. Choice of Catalyst. The first table (Table 1) highlights several key insights from their investigation. For example, entries 5-11 show several forms or preparations of the palladium catalyst and reveal the PdCl$_2$(PhCN)$_2$ and PdCl$_2$(MeCN)$_2$ forms to be superior with regards to catalytic activity compared to the other tested complexes; the reaction time is seen to be greatly reduced. It is unclear, however, if the ligand effects are due to size or electron-withdrawal capacity. But the superiority of such complexes compared to other Pd complexes bearing phosphine ligands can be heavily attributed to their stability in air.

Effect of Choice of Solvent. The choice of solvent also observably affected the yield, regio- and stereoselectivity (Table 1, entries 12-20). Polar solvents (entries 12-17) tended to favor the γ-product, while less polar ones (entries 18-20)
generally depressed the yield and favored α selection. The regioselectivity of the reactions in these solvents conforms to the known dielectric constants and Swain’s parameters of solvent which says that higher regioselectivity (i.e. more γ-addition) is attainable in solvents with larger dielectric constants. So to speak, syn selection can be achieved by the use of ethylene glycol (EG) and dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), while anti selection can be achieved using 1,3-Dimethyl-2-imidazolidinone (DMI) and dimethylformamide (DMF). Another point of interest in the data is the comparison between the presence and absence of water in the same reaction system (Table 1, entries 14-15). The reaction time was, surprisingly, significantly reduced, from 63 h to just 15 h in the presence of water. Interestingly, other work involving tin-mediated carbonyl allylations have also reported tolerance and acceleration in the presence of water, even with acid, although it remains unclear how it occurs (Guimarães et al., 2007; Tan, Hou & Liu, 2004). But kinetics aside, the presence of water in the reaction system has shown significant effects in regio- and stereoselectivity as well (Table 1, entries 14-15). An investigation on the regio- and diastereoselection of benzaldehyde with 2-(E/Z)-butenol pointed out that higher proportions of water in the reaction system, as in the THF-H₂O solvent system, generally favors γ-addition and the formation of the anti-isomer product. While the DMSO-H₂O system permits non-diastereoselection, the presence of large amounts of water still allows anti-selection.

Mechanistic Aspects of the Reaction. Another key observation by Takahara and co-workers was that the allylation needed two equivalents of SnCl₂ for significant yield (Table 1, entries 1-4). Due to this, two functions have been proposed for SnCl₂: (1) acting as a Lewis acid to aid elimination of the –OH group and (2) serving as the reducing agent for the π-allylpalladium complex.

The mechanism of action of the SnCl₂ reagent in the carbonyl allylation reaction has been largely ambiguous, most especially with respect to the intermediate species that it forms. Takahara and co-workers inferred an allylic tin intermediate and carried out NMR spectroscopic studies to prove their claim. They compared the ¹H and ¹³C NMR of the reaction product of 2-propanol (1 eq.) and SnCl₂ (2 eq.) with 3-chloropropene (1 eq.; Scheme VIII, 3) and SnCl₂ (2 eq.) with both systems in the presence of PdCl₂(PhCN)₂ in DMF-d₇ at 25°C, and the results showed largely similar spectra for both cases (Takahara, Masuyama & Kurusu, 1992). Comparison of the product spectra with literature (Denmark, Wilson T & Wilson TM, 1988) also showed numerous similarities, thus allowing the inference of an allyl trichlorotin intermediate (Scheme VIII, 5). From these findings, it thus appears that allyl-SnCl₂ must be the actual allylating agent, attacking the carbonyl compound.

Many other studies, including other authors, assert that the allylation reaction can be best described as a Barbier-type allylation, which is essentially an in situ version of the well-known Grignard reaction involving either carbanion-type attack on the carbonyl or single electron transfer, SET (Wang, 2010). Masuyama, himself, has stated the Barbier-type nature of the reaction with tin (II) chloride (Masuyama, Takeuchi & Kurusu, 2005; Masuyama, Saeki, Horiguchi & Kurusu, 2001). Carbonyl allylation in an organic-aqueous solvent mediated by SnCl₂ in the presence of copper as catalyst/activator has also been reported (Tan, Hou & Liu, 2004). Of interest in the cited authors’ work is their observation that water greatly accelerated the reaction and improved the yield compared to using only organic solvent, e.g. dichloromethane (DCM). From only around 50% yield, at a ratio of just 20:1 DCM-water, the yield went all the way to around 100%, consistent with the observations of Takahara et al. (1992). Interestingly, the organometallic chemistry seems to take a different turn when another metal (aside from Pd) is used as catalyst. Rhodium has shown some promise as a catalyst, but, to date, iridium seems to have the highest output/yield out of the three (Pd, Rh and Ir) which likely relates to catalytic efficiency/turnover (Masuyama, Kaneko, & Kurusu, 2004; Masuyama & Marukawa, 2007). An investigation by Banerjee and Roy (2006) further explored the characteristics of the SnCl₂-iridium-mediated allylation and found that no allyltin intermediate could be detected. Instead, a Grignard-type of insertion was proposed.

Scheme VIII. Proposed reaction pathway for 2-propanol (parallel to 3-chloropropene) to inferred intermediate 5 Reprinted with permission from Takahara et al. (1992) J. Am. Chem. 114, 2577-86. Copyright © 1992, American Chemical Society]

forming an Ir-Sn-X intermediate, where X is the leaving group (Scheme IX). This illustrates how much more complex the reaction of interest may be than previously thought. Further investigations are needed for a more conclusive picture of the reaction pathway, the role of transition metal catalysts, and the role of the metal salt reductant.

**Chemoselection in Carbonyl Allylation.** Another point of interest in the investigation of Takahara and co-workers (1992) was the chemoselectivity achieved by the reaction system. Though somewhat predictably, addition was selective towards aldehydes rather than ketones, with higher yields and lower reaction times needed for allylation of aldehydes. This was likely due to steric effects. With regards to reactivity of different allylic derivatives, the order of increasing leaving group reactivity was found to be: allylic acetate < allylic alcohol < allylic carbonate, similar to their preliminary study. Other studies support this finding with allylic alcohols and carbonates generally performing better than allylic phenoxides, phosphates and ethers. Lewis acid-assisted elimination of hydroxyl groups would explain the reactivity of allylic alcohols, while it has been reasoned that allylic carbonates can undergo decarboxylative allylations (Tsuiji, Shimizu, Minami & Ohashi, 1982; Tsuji et al., 1985). Similar to the case of chemoselection, some other aspects of stereoselectivity, such as diastereoselection and asymmetric induction, were reported to be affected by bulky substituents on either or both the aldehyde and the allylic alcohol.

**FURTHER WORKS AND INVESTIGATIONS ON CARBONYL ALLYLATION**

Although the exact reaction mechanism has somewhat remained ambiguous in the case of the carbonyl allylation, further synthetic developments of the same reaction system or of similar nature have been reported by Masuyama’s group. Discussed in this section are some of their other works that provide information on the potential applications and versatility of the Pd-SnCl₂ system in carbonyl allylation.

**Carbonyl Allylation by Allylic Diols and Aliphatic Alkenes.** Following the 1992 work by Takahara et al., an investigation on aldehyde allylation of 2-methylene propane-1,3-diol was performed (Masuyama, Kagawa & Kurusu, 1996). The transformation was accomplished using PdCl₂(PhCN)₂, SnCl₂, and DMF or THF (as solvent) at room temperature. With the main substrate bearing two identical allylic alcohol moieties, Masuyama and co-workers were able to synthesize the monoallylated product under DMF. Using THF, however, depressed the yield of the monoallylated product but increased the reaction selectivity. As for the case of 1,3-dichloro-2-methylene propane, reacting it with aldehyde under the same conditions

### Table 2. Generic reaction of 2-methylene propane-1,3-diol with aldehydes and some of the obtained results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>R₁</th>
<th>R₂</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.4(CH₂O)₂C₂H₅</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4MeO-CC₃H₃</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PhCH₂CH₂</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PhCH₃CH₂</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>C₆H₅(₃)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Monooallylation of aldehydes (1 mmol) by 1a (2 mmol) was carried out with PdCl₂(PhCN)₂ (0.02 mmol) and SnCl₂ (2 mmol) at room temp. in DMF (3 ml). * Isolated yields. * THF was used as a solvent.

### Table 3. Generic reaction of isoprene with aldehydes and some of the obtained results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Aldehyde</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4-NC₃H₅</td>
<td>Pd(OAc)₂</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4MeO-CC₃H₃</td>
<td>Pd(PPh₃)₂</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2BrC₆H₅</td>
<td>Pd(PPh₃)₂</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4ClC₆H₅</td>
<td>Pd(OAc)₂</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4MeOC₆H₅</td>
<td>Pd(OAc)₂</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PhCH₂CH₂</td>
<td>Pd(OAc)₂</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>c-C₁₀H₁₈</td>
<td>Pd(PPh₃)₂</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>CH₃=CH(CH₂)₃</td>
<td>Pd(PPh₃)₂</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The allylation of aldehyde (1 mmol) by isoprene (5 mmol) was carried out with Pd catalyst (0.02 mmol), PPh₃ (0.08 mmol), and SnCl₂ (2 mmol) at 40 °C for 24 h in H₂O (1 ml) and AcOH (2 ml). * Isolated yields. * The reaction was carried out at 80 °C for 2 h. * The reaction was carried out with PPh₃ (0.04 mmol) at 50 °C. The isomers, 1-substituted 1-methylpent-3-en-1-ols, were obtained in 6-11% yields.
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led to the formation of a diallylated product (not shown on Table 2). Upon closer investigation, this work was able to demonstrate the selectivity of the process towards monoaallylation.

Carbonyl allylation by simple aliphatic alkenes has also been a subject of interest by Masuyama’s group. In 1994, Masuyama and co-workers were able to explore this using palladium as catalyst, SnCl₂, and an H₂O-AcOH solvent system. The transformation was done under 50-80 °C temperature, depending on the aldehyde compound, for a duration of at most 24 h. The yield was observed at around 17-82% (Table 3). The lower yields can be attributed to the use of a bulky aldehyde, as less bulky and aromatic aldehydes bearing electron-donating and electron-withdrawing groups produced more moderate yields.

**Stereoselection in Carbonyl Allylation.** In 1998, another diastereoselectivity investigation was performed (Masuyama, Ito & Kurusu, 1998). This method utilized mixed (E)- and (Z)-1,3-dichloropropene with SnI₂-Bu₄NI and SnCl₂ to produce syn and anti 1-substituted 2-chlorobut-3-en-1-ol, respectively. Reaction conditions were particularly mild but require long reaction periods (62-97 hrs). Although the corresponding yields were generally moderate (24-78%), selectivity was found to be excellent (Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Alcohol</th>
<th>Aldehyde R²</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Ratio (E:Z)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>54:16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>69:31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>66:34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>c-C₃H₇</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>93:5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>c-C₃H₇</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>91.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CH₃(CH₂)₂</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>93.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Me-C=CH(CH₂)₃</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>90.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>c-C₆H₅ •</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>99.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conditions: PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂: 0.02 mmol, SnCl₂ 5 mmol, allylic alcohol 1 mmol, ultrasonication: 10 °C, 4 h; aldehyde 1 mmol, 25 °C, 24-48 h. *Isolated yields of the mixture of regioisomers based on aldehydes. **The ratio was determined by 1H NMR (500 MHz) and or GC (capillary column PEG 20 M. 0.25 mm x 3 m). ***The ratio of stereoisomers (E:Z or syn:anti) was not confirmed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>2s:2a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>14:86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>71:29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>56:44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>93:7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>70:30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>99:1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>G1</td>
<td>99:1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Generic reaction of (E)- and (Z)-1,3-dichloropropene with aldehydes and some of the obtained results [Reprinted with permission from Masuyama et al. (1998) Chemical Communications. 315-316. Copyright © 1998, The Royal Society of Chemistry].

Table 5. Reaction of allylic alcohol with aldehydes and some of the obtained results under exposure to ultrasonic irradiation [Adapted from Masuyama et al. (1994) Inorganica Chimica Acta 220. 155-159. Copyright © 1994, Elsevier].
Regioselective control is one of the key considerations of Masuyama’s group in Pd-catalyzed carbonyl allylations. In 1994, he and his co-workers were able to publish their work on synthesizing α-selected homoallylic alcohols using a Pd-SnCl$_2$ system under non-polar solvents (Masuyama, Hayakawa, Kurusu & Kishida, 1994a). With the low solubility of SnCl$_2$ in non-polar solvents, the reaction was made to proceed by employing ultrasonic irradiation for 24-48 hrs. Results from their previous studies using polar solvents showed predominantly γ products (Table 1) (Takahara, Masuyama & Kurusu, 1992). The inverse was observed upon utilization of non-polar solvents, giving predominantly α regioisomers rather than the γ counterpart. While this was the case, the method was only able to give moderate yields and generally low diastereoselectivity (Table 5).

**DEVELOPMENTS IN THE FIELD**

Much of the work highlighted in this paper are of Masuyama and his group, all involving the use of palladium catalysts and tin chloride for different carbonyl allylation reactions. The methods shown require long reaction periods and rather sophisticated chemicals to afford relatively moderate yields. Nevertheless, these works demonstrated the formation of new carbon-carbon bonds and the introduction of two functionalities (an alcohol and a double bond) with moderate to good regio- and diastereoselectivity. More recent developments in the field owe to Masuyama and associated authors their use of an umpolung of π-allylpalladium complexes in the presence of a low-valent metal as reducing agent. Some of these developments will be discussed in this section.

**Use of Alternative Reagents.** After Masuyama, many other works have stepped up to provide alternative or improved methods for carbonyl allylation. For example, in 2015, a highly diastereoselective allylation reaction of aldehydes and isatins with simple acyclic alkenes was developed (Tao, Li, Han & Gong, 2015). This is reminiscent of the 1994 work of Masuyama et al. involving carbonyl allylation using isoprene (Masuyama, Tsunoda & Kurusu, 1994b). This method developed by Tao’s group utilized a palladium catalyst, bis(pinacolato) diboron, an oxidant, and a Bronsted acid. The 50°C-transformation was found to be a sequential process consisting of a Pd-catalyzed oxidative allylic C-H borylation and an allylboration of carbonyls accelerated by phosphoric acid. The oxidant involved, mainly N-fluorobenzenesulfonyl fluoride (NFSI), played a key role in the activation of the allylic C-H while the Bronsted acid, phosphoric acid in this case, catalyzed the allylation reaction and facilitated the whole process (Scheme X). The study gave promising results, with moderate to excellent yields (64-99%) and high diastereoselectivity, with >20:1 anti:syn ratio. This was true for a broad range of substituted allylarenes having diverse steric and electronic properties, but those with neutral and cyclic allyl substituents were observed to give excellent yields. For aldehyde substrates, neither
the electronic properties nor substitution patterns affected diastereoselectivity. Although this was the case, aldehydes with electron-deficient substituents gave higher yields compared to those of electron-rich substrates. Isatin derivatives were also experimented within the process and it was determined that both their electronic features and substitution patterns had little effect on diastereomeric ratios. Generally, a wide range of aldehydes and alkenes were found to be well tolerated.

2016 has also been a productive year in the field as yet another study for the facile process of (E)-anti-homoallylic alcohol production was developed using a palladium-xantphos-catalyzed reaction system of 3-(tri-n-butylstannyl)allyl acetates, aldehydes, and triorganoboranes (Scheme XI) (Horino, Sugata & Abe, 2016). This served as alternative to the typical carbonyl allylation bound with the limitation of introducing n-alkyl, sec-alkyl, and aryl groups, which came from triorganoboranes, into the alkene. The supposed mechanism starts with the formation of an allylic gem-palladium/stannyl intermediate, which then undergoes allylation of the aldehyde via a coupling reaction of the in situ-generated (E)-vinylpalladium acetate with the triorganoboranes. The whole process took around 1 hr. at a moderate temperature of 50°C, using toluene as solvent. Results were of moderate yields, particularly around 55-89%, with E/Z stereo- and diastereoselectivity ratios of around 5:1 – 13:1. Good to excellent yields were found for electron-neutral and electron-deficient aldehydes, heterocyclic aldehydes, heteroaryl-substituted substrates, and tri-n-alkylboranes. Aliphatic aldehydes however only gave moderate yields. Nevertheless, high diastereoselectivities were observed for all types of aldehydes and tri-n-alkylboranes tested. Moderate diastereoselectivities were also observed for heteroaryl substrates. Previous studies of Horino and co-workers had also demonstrated the formation of (Z)-anti-homoallylic alcohol, but in this case, using 3-(pinacolatoboryl) allyl acetates, aldehydes, and triorganoboranes under the influence of a Pd-PCyPh₂ catalyst system (Horino, Sugata & Abe, 2016).

Both works of Tao et al. and Horino et al. provided alternative methods to that of Masuyama’s. With the introduction of additional reagents to facilitate the process, significant increases in yield and extent of diastereoselectivity were observed. Reductions in reaction durations are also worth mentioning.

The presence of water has also been found to facilitate smooth palladium-catalyzed carbonyl allylation with allylic alcohol (Takahara, Masuyama & Kurusu, 1992). A similar study utilized a palladium catalyst but in the presence of SnCl₂·H₂O under DMF solvent (Scheme XII) (Kalita & Phukan, 2013). The study involved carbonyl allylation using allyl bromide. The results showed good yields (75-93%) even under short reaction periods: 1-3 hrs. for aldehydes and 5-8 hrs. for ketones. In general, the method worked well with aliphatic aldehydes to give corresponding products in excellent yields. Aromatic aldehydes bearing electron-withdrawing groups also reacted successfully, except for those bearing –NO₂ and –F moieties, independent of the substituent position. This can be extended as well to a variety of ketones, giving appreciable yields. Most of the methods available for ketone allylation revealed that this SnCl₂-mediated process needed long reaction times to achieve good yields (Li et al., 1998). In the recent developments by Kalita and Phukan, it was...
shown that ketone allylation can be completed in shorter reaction times, thus providing an alternative and efficient method for carbonyl allylation that employs almost just the same process. Although the study did not explore thoroughly on the stereo- and regioselectivity of the process, it still provided good information on what conditions are favorable to achieve significant carbonyl allylation yields. Investigations on the regio- and stereoselectivity of this process could also be performed in the future to determine needed conditions.

**Development of More Economic Methods.** It has been of great interest to many organic chemists to develop synthetic methods that can be considered both “green” and efficient based on the use of less expensive and relatively easy to handle reagents. This section will now discuss alternative allylation methods and the use of relatively less toxic and more affordable chemical reagents for carbonyl allylation reactions. It was shown previously that Masuyama et al. were able to utilize non-polar solvents to synthesize α-allylic carbonyl compounds using palladium catalysts and a tin chloride reductant (Masuyama, Hayakawa, Kishida & Kurusu, 1994). The low solubility of SnCl₂ in non-polar solvents was considered as an understandable barrier but was more or less remedied by the use of ultrasonic irradiation. For many years, ultrasonic irradiation has attracted considerable attention in organic synthesis mainly due to its ability to promote organic transformations that require drastic conditions and its ability to enhance reaction rates and increase yields. In 2014, Freitas and co-workers were able to develop an “environmentally benign reaction” for the synthesis of homoallylic alcohols based on the reaction of potassium allyltrifluoroborate and an aldehyde promoted by ultrasound. The method featured the use of small amounts of acetone as solvent without any additional metal catalysts or promoter (Table 6). Results have shown that aldehydes of aliphatic, aromatic, and heterocyclic types were efficiently allylated in moderate to high yields, ~60-96%, in a quite short amount of time of only 5-30 minutes. Aromatic aldehydes containing electron-withdrawing and electron-donating groups gave corresponding products in high yields, but with the observation of much longer reaction periods with the latter. This was mainly due to electrostatic factors contributed by the high electron density on the carbonyl carbon. This served to react with another electron-rich source, an alkene. Additionally, substituent position was found to have no effect on the process as similar yields were observed for the test reactants. As for heterocyclic and aliphatic aldehydes, corresponding products were obtained in moderate yields.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Carbonyl compound</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>% Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3-phenylpropional</td>
<td>A: 53</td>
<td>B: 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>citronellol</td>
<td>A: 61</td>
<td>B: 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1-t-butylcyclohexane</td>
<td>A: 50</td>
<td>B: 65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Catalyst (mol %)</th>
<th>Ligand (mol %)</th>
<th>% Yield</th>
<th>Yield [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>PdCl₂(10)</td>
<td>PPh₂(20)</td>
<td>94(96)</td>
<td>94(96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>PdCl₂(10)</td>
<td>PPh₂(20)</td>
<td>91(92)</td>
<td>91(92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>Pd(OAc)₂(10)</td>
<td>PPh₂(20)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>Pd(PPh₃)(10)</td>
<td>PPh₂(20)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1e</td>
<td>PdCl₂(10)</td>
<td>PPh₂(20)</td>
<td>92(94)</td>
<td>92(94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1f</td>
<td>PdCl₂(10)</td>
<td>PCy₃(20)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1g</td>
<td>PdCl₂(10)</td>
<td>PPh₂(20)</td>
<td>92(94)</td>
<td>92(94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1h</td>
<td>PdCl₂(10)</td>
<td>PPh₂(20)</td>
<td>95(95)</td>
<td>95(95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1i</td>
<td>PdCl₂(10)</td>
<td>PPh₂(20)</td>
<td>95(95)</td>
<td>95(95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1j</td>
<td>PdCl₂(10)</td>
<td>PPh₂(20)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Yields were determined by ¹H NMR analysis using 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as an internal standard. Isolated yields are given in parentheses. 
[b] Reaction time: 48 h. 
[c] 3.5 equiv of ZnEt₂ was used. 
[d] Reaction time: 72 h. 
[e] 1 ml solution in hexane.
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E-crotyltrifluoroborate and cinnamaldehyde and it was determined that the process can selectively give the $\gamma$-adduct in an 84:16 ratio (anti:syn). With these findings, the method of Freitas et al. can indeed be considered an efficient alternative to the early methods developed by Masuyama et al. (Freitas et al., 2014).

Another interesting method developed under carbonyl allylation employed mechanochemistry, whose main features used solvent-free conditions under the catalytic influence of environmentally benign phosphotungstic acid (PTA) (Bora, Sema, Wahlen & Bez, 2011). The method also featured a tin derivative in the form of allyltributylstannane that reacts with an aldehyde upon grinding without solvent (Table 7). Aside from solvent free conditions, this method holds significant use for synthetic chemists as this provides easy handling, very short reaction times (5-20 mins), and aqueous free isolation protocols. Results are promising for both aliphatic and aromatic aldehydes, having excellent yields ranging from 81% to 99%. The presence of electron-withdrawing and electron-donating groups on the phenyl rings also showed no direct effect on the compatibility of the method to yield the desired product. However, the work did not involve ketone allylation and diastereoselectivity investigations. Although this is so, this mechanochemical-type of reaction provides an intriguing alternative synthetic protocol for carbonyl allylation.

Use of Alternative Metals and Lewis Acids. Some novel ideas have also come up far after the work of Masuyama and of similar research. Interesting chemistry has been observed using similar reaction systems, but of different substrates and catalysts. For instance, a system using a nickel-based catalyst and a titanium-based reductant ($\text{Ni}_\text{0}/\text{Ti}^{III}$) showed good to excellent yields for carbonyl allylation using an allylic carbonate with a proposed mechanism highly reminiscent of the $\text{Pd}_\text{0}/\text{Sn}^{II}$ system. Surprisingly, simple ketones and aromatic ketones also gave appreciable yields, similar with the use of palladium catalysts (Table 8). Reactions were even found to proceed at room temperature using catalytic amounts of the metal-based reagents (Martínez-peregrón et al., 2012). While palladium is evidently not unique in its ability to facilitate carbon-carbon bond forming reactions, being a noble metal makes it more practical to use, as palladium and its compounds are generally less susceptible to oxidation (Brooks, 1992). However, Martinez-
Peragon and her group have noted that, unlike the Pd-based system, their Ni-based reaction system did not promote allylation products when using substituted allylic carbonates, such as crotyl or prenyl derivatives, as pronucleophiles. This thus allowed the use of substrates containing such functionalities as the carbonyl compound or electrophile, making the nickel-based system more chemoselective than the palladium-based one. In addition, nickel-based catalysts are said to be more desirable in terms of cost as Ni is considered as a “commodity metal,” whereas Pd and Pt are considered as precious metals, demanding higher prices (Rosen et al., 2011).

In another study, highly regioselective carboxylation of allylic alcohols was developed using merely CO₂ as the electrophile (Mita, Higuchi & Sato, 2015). In their simple but clever system, various palladium complexes were tested for efficiency, along with an appropriate ligand, ZnEt₂ as reductant, and under 1 atm pressure of CO₂ (Table 9). Their experiments found favorable yields of product for both allylic acetates and alcohols, and found that PdCl₂ added with PPh₃ ligand was generally the most favored catalyst system. The authors also found that the reaction regioselectively gave branched, instead of linear, carboxylic acid products in all cases and in high yields. Based on their data, as well as some previous reports, they have proposed a plausible catalytic cycle for their system as shown (Scheme XIII). Another major development in the field is the replacement of Lewis acid activators with simpler Bronsted acids, such as carboxylic acids (Scheme XIV). Such a system is highly favored as it eliminates the production of metal-based waste (as in metal-based Lewis acids) and instead produces water and the recoverable conjugate base as by-products. Based off previous works of other authors, Jiang and List (2011) developed a system for the α-allylation of aldehydes with allylic alcohols utilizing Pd(PPh₃)₄ as catalyst and either trifluoroacetic acid or benzoic acid as the Bronsted acid. They tested several reaction conditions for their system in search of an optimal one (Table 10). As suggested by their study, a reaction system of Pd(PPh₃)₄/PhCO₂H in toluene solvent is the most effective with >99% conversion. The reaction also exhibited a wide substrate scope, tolerating aromatics containing either an electron-withdrawing or electron-donating group, as well as aliphatic and unbranched aldehydes. Interestingly, another group has found that the reaction quite readily tolerates azlactone substrates without the need of a Bronsted acid as the substrate itself mediates the activation through its H-bonding moieties, allowing for economic syntheses of biologically active compounds such as quaternary allylic amino acids (Zhou et al., 2014). Aside from using Bronsted acids, another approach that circumvents the stoichiometric use of metallic...
reagents or Lewis acid activators is the utilization of transfer hydrogenative coupling. In this new family of catalytic carbonyl allylation methodologies, allenes, dienes, and allyl acetate can serve as precursors in transient allyl metal nucleophiles (Kim, Ngai & Krische, 2008). From these three, allyl acetate has received much more attention due to its desirable allyl donor property, low cost, and tractability.

Remarkably, this approach does not only promote carbonyl allylation from the aldehyde oxidation level, but also from the alcohol oxidation level. Kim and co-workers (2008) reported an acetate-alcohol transfer hydrogenative coupling in the presence of an iridium catalyst derived from $[\text{Ir}(\text{cod})\text{Cl}]_2$ and $\text{R}-\text{BINAP}$ [cod=1,5-cyclooctadiene; BINAP=(2,2'-bis(diphenylphosphino)-1,1'-binaphthyl)]. Products following C-type allylation were generated in good yields with exceptional enantioselectivity (Table 11). For allylation of aldehydes, nearly identical conditions were used except for employing isopropanol as the terminal reductant and (-)-TMBTP as the ligand (Table 12). Results from their preliminary analysis revealed that the utilization of 10 mol% $m$-nitrobenzoic acid additive and 20 mol% cesium carbonate base gave the optimum results, and so were used for carrying out the reaction. This approach in carbonyl allylation was believed to happen based on a mechanism shown in Scheme XV (top), composed of the following steps: (1) formation of iridium carboxylate IIa from the association of $m$-NO$_2$BzOH to $[\text{Ir}(\text{cod})\text{Cl}]_2$; (2) oxidative addition of allyl acetate to complex IIa; (3) acetate-assisted ortho-metalation through the six-centered transition structure IIIa to yield $\sigma$-allyl C,O-benzoate complex IV; (4) rapid equilibration of

![Scheme XV. Proposed catalytic mechanisms for the Ir-catalyzed transfer hydrogenative coupling from the aldehyde and or alcohol oxidation level ($Ln$= chelating triaryl phosphine) [Reprinted with permission from Kim et al. (2008). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130 (44), 14891-14899. Copyright © 2008, American Chemical Society].](image-url)
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Professor Yoshiro Masuyama obtained his Bachelor in Engineering from Gunma University in 1973. He finished his Master's in Engineering and Doctor of Science in 1975 and 1978, respectively, from the Tokyo Institute of Technology. He was a research associate in the laboratory of Prof. K. Barry Trost at the University of Wisconsin at Madison from 1978 to 1980. Thereafter, he taught and did research at Sophia University in Japan. He is involved in several works other than Pd-catalyzed and Sn(II)-mediated carbonyl allylation, namely: molybdenum-mediated/catalyzed reactions (Kurusu, Masuyama, Saito M & Saito S, 1986; Masuyama, Takahashi & Kurusu, 1984; Masuyama, Tsuhako & Kurusu 1981; Masuyama, Kurusu & Segawa, 1987a: Masuyama, Yamada & Kurusu, 1987b; Trost & Masuyama, 1984), tetramorphoxomolybdate complex-catalyzed oxidations (Kurusu & Masuyama, 1986, 1985; Kurusu & Masuyama, 1989; Kurusu & Masuyama, 1989), synthesis of some dinuclear metal complexes (Guidote et al., 2001a, 2001b), hydrodesulfurization of dibenzo thiophene derivatives over metal catalysts (Saih et al., 2005), various syntheses other than allylations using tin as catalyst, such as aziridination and amination (Masuyama, Ohtsuka, Harima & Kurusu, 2006a), carbonyl propargylation (Masuyama, Yamazuki, Ohtsuka & Kurusu, 2006c), aldol condensations (Masuyama, Ohtsuka & Kondo, 2006b), cyclotrimination of terminal alkynes (Masuyama, Miyazaki & Suzuki, 2013a), and aldehyde-alkyne couplings (Masuyama, Takamura & Suzuki, 2013b), synthesis and characterization of zirconium complexes (Podiyanachari et al., 2012; Suzuki et al., 2013, 2012, 2011b, 2011a) and even the synthesis of an amino acid used for diagnostic studies (Usuki et al., 2012).

CONCLUSIONS

The efforts dedicated by Masuyama and co-workers in developing the methods for carbonyl allylation has indeed contributed significantly in the field of organic/organometallic synthesis and catalysis. Although the initial reaction systems produced only moderate yields despite long reaction durations and the use of quite toxic and rather costly reagents, these systems have served as the benchmark for future developments in the field. Carbonyl allylation has been dubbed as one of the most difficult organic transformations, and further developments in the reaction system, reagents and conditions to be used are still necessary to obtain an optimal system for the purposes of the chemist and his or her intended product. A special acknowledgement to Masuyama and co-workers should be given as their works have served as the foundation for the application of metal-mediated charge reversal (umpolung) to carbonyl allylations in practical organic synthesis.

LITERATURE CITED


Masuyama Y, Ito A, Kurusu Y. Either g-syn- or g-anti-selective palladium-catalysed carbonyl allylation by mixed (E)- and (Z)-1,3-dichloropropene with tin (II) halides. Chem Commun 1998;315–6.


